arXiv:solv-int/9512002v1 6 Dec 1995

Binary nonlinearization for the Dirac systems*

Wen-xiu Ma

Institute of Mathematics, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, P. R. of China

Abstract

A Bargmann symmetry constraint is proposed for the Lax pairs and the adjoint Lax pairs of the Dirac systems. It is shown that the spatial part of the nonlinearized Lax pairs and adjoint Lax pairs is a finite dimensional Liouville integrable Hamiltonian system and that under the control of the spatial part, the time parts of the nonlinearized Lax pairs and adjoint Lax pairs are interpreted as a hierarchy of commutative, finite dimensional Liouville integrable Hamiltonian systems whose Hamiltonian functions consist of a series of integrals of motion for the spatial part. Moreover an involutive representation of solutions of the Dirac systems exhibits their integrability by quadratures. This kind of symmetry constraint procedure involving the spectral problem and the adjoint spectral problem is referred to as a binary nonlinearization technique like a binary Darboux transformation.

Key Words: Zero Curvature Representation, Nonlinerization Method, Liouville Integrable system, Soliton Hierarchy

1. Introduction

Symmetry constraints become prominent in recent few years due to the important roles they play in soliton theory. For $1+1$ dimensional soliton hierarchies, a very successful symmetry constraint method is the nonlinearization technique proposed by Cao[1,2] and Cao and Geng[3,4]. A large class of finite dimensional Liouville integrable Hamiltonian systems is thus generated which are connected with soliton hierarchies[1−6] and the nonlinearization technique is systematically extended by Zeng and Li[7,8] for quite a few soliton hierarchies. At the same time, a symmetry constraint procedure for bi-Hamiltonian soliton hierarchies is proposed by Antonowicz and Wojciechowski[9] and bi-Hamiltonian structures for the constrained systems can be worked out[9,10]. By observing that stationary soliton flows may be interpreted as finite dimensional Hamiltonian systems[11] on introducing the so-called Jacobi-Ostrogradsky coordinates[12], a natural generalization of nonlinearization technique to higher order symmetry constraints is made by Zeng[13] for the KdV and Boussinesq hierarchies.

For $1+2$ dimensional soliton hierarchies, a sort of symmetry constraints similar to ones in the nonlinearization technique has also been presented by several authors[14], although relatively

*This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China

little is known about the general construction at present. Some interrelations of $1+2$ dimensional soliton hierarchies to $1+1$ dimensional soliton ones and various structures of constrained systems have vigorously been exposed[15]. The theory progress along the above two lines has been making and has aroused increasing interest in recent times.

This paper is devoted to the symmetry constraints in the first line. Based upon the idea of nonlinearization technique, we would like to propose a binary nonlinearization technique for soliton hierarchies possessing Lax pairs. We shall express, in terms of the eigenfunctions and the adjoint eigenfunctions, the variational derivative of the spectral parameter with respect to the potential, and thus the binary nonlinearization technique involves two sets of dependent variables, which is different from the nonlinearization technique. By considering the constraint problem of the Lax pairs and the adjoint Lax pairs, we shall exhibit the idea of binary nonlinearization technique and provide new examples for finite dimensional Liouville integrable Hamiltonian systems. In Section 2, we shall give the concrete construction of the Dirac systems and their Hamiltonian structures. Furthermore we want to establish some properties which will be used later. In Section 3, we shall exhibit systematically the binary nonlinearization procedure for the Lax pairs and the adjoint Lax pairs of the Dirac systems.

2. The Dirac systems and their Hamiltonian structures

We consider the Dirac spectral problem[16]

$$\phi_x = U\phi = U(u,\lambda)\phi,\ \phi = \begin{pmatrix}\phi_1\\ \phi_2\end{pmatrix},\ u = \begin{pmatrix}q\\ r\end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.1a}$$

where the spectral operator is as follows

$$U = U(u,\lambda) = r\sigma_1 + \lambda i\sigma_2 + q\sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix}q & \lambda + r\\ -\lambda + r & -q\end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.1b}$$

and the σ_j, $1 \le j \le 3$, are 2×2 Pauli matrices. To derive Dirac systems associated with (2.1), we first solve the adjoint representation equation (see Ref. [17]) $V_x = [U,V]$ of $\phi_x = U\phi$. Set

$$V = a\sigma_1 + bi\sigma_2 + c\sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix}c & a+b\\ a-b & -c\end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.2}$$

Noting that

$$[U,V] = (-2\lambda c + 2qb)\sigma_1 + (-2rc + 2qa)i\sigma_2 + (2\lambda a - 2rb)\sigma_3,$$

we see that the adjoint representation equation $V_x = [U,V]$ becomes

$$\begin{cases} a_x = -2\lambda c + 2qb,\\ b_x = -2rc + 2qa,\\ c_x = 2\lambda a - 2rb, \end{cases}$$

which is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} a_0 = c_0 = 0,\ b_{0x} = 0, \\ a_{ix} = -2c_{i+1} + 2qb_i, \\ b_{ix} = -2rc_i + 2qa_i, \qquad i \geq 0, \\ c_{ix} = 2a_{i+1} - 2rb_i, \end{cases} \tag{2.3}$$

on setting $a = \sum_{i\geq 0} a_i\lambda^{-i}$, $b = \sum_{i\geq 0} b_i\lambda^{-i}$, $c = \sum_{i\geq 0} c_i\lambda^{-i}$. We choose $b_0 = -1$, and assume that $a_i|_{u=0} = b_i|_{u=0} = c_i|_{u=0} = 0,\ i \geq 1$ (or equivalently select constants of integration to be zero). At this point, the recursion relation (2.3) uniquely determines a series of differential functions with respect to u. For instance, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &a_1 = -r,\ c_1 = -q,\ b_1 = 0; \\ &a_2 = -\frac{1}{2}q_x,\ c_2 = \frac{1}{2}r_x,\ b_2 = -\frac{1}{2}q^2 - \frac{1}{2}r^2; \\ &a_3 = \frac{1}{4}r_{xx} - \frac{1}{2}q^2r - \frac{1}{2}r^3,\ c_3 = \frac{1}{4}q_{xx} - \frac{1}{2}q^3 - \frac{1}{2}qr^2,\ b_3 = \frac{1}{2}(qr_x - q_xr). \end{aligned}$$

From $(V^2)_x = [U, V^2]$, we see that $(\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}V^2)_x = (c^2 + a^2 - b^2)_x = 0$. Thus by $(\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}V^2)|_{u=0} = 1$, we have $c^2 + a^2 - b^2 = 1$. Further we obtain

$$b_n = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(b_ib_{n-i} - a_ia_{n-i} - c_ic_{n-i}),\ n \geq 2.$$

By the mathematical induction, it follows from (2.3) and the above equality that a_i, b_i, c_i are all differential polynomial functions of u. The compatibility conditions of Lax pairs

$$\phi_x = U\phi,\ \phi_{t_n} = V^{(n)}\phi,\ V^{(n)} = (\lambda^nV)_+,\ n \geq 0, \tag{2.4}$$

where the symbol + stands for the selection of the polynomial part of λ, engender a hierarchy of the Dirac systems

$$u_{t_n} = \begin{pmatrix} q \\ r \end{pmatrix}_{t_n} = K_n = \begin{pmatrix} -2a_{n+1} \\ 2c_{n+1} \end{pmatrix},\ n \geq 0. \tag{2.5}$$

The first nonlinear Dirac system in the hierarchy (2.5) reads as

$$\begin{cases} q_{t_2} = -\frac{1}{2}r_{xx} + q^2r + r^3, \\ r_{t_2} = \frac{1}{2}q_{xx} - q^3 - qr^2. \end{cases}$$

This system is different from the coupled nonlinear Schrödinger systems in AKNS hierarchy because it contains the cubic terms q^3, r^3.

In what follows, we want to give the Hamiltonian structures of the Dirac systems (2.5) by means of the trace identity proposed in Ref. [18]. To this end, we need the following quantities which are easy to prove:

$$< V, \frac{\partial U}{\partial \lambda} >= -2b,\ < V, \frac{\partial U}{\partial q} >= 2c,\ < V, \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} >= 2a, \tag{2.6}$$

where $< A, B >= \mathrm{tr}(AB)$. Now applying the trace identity[18]

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta u} < V, \frac{\partial U}{\partial \lambda} >= \lambda^{-\gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \lambda^{\gamma} (< V, \frac{\partial U}{\partial q} >, < V, \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} >)^T, \ \gamma = \text{const.},$$

we obtain at once

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta u}(-2b) = \lambda^{-\gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \lambda^{\gamma} (2c, 2a)^T.$$

Equating the coefficients of λ^{-n-1} on two sides of the above equality, we have

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta u}(-b_{n+1}) = (\gamma - n)(c_n, a_n,)^T, \ n \geq 0.$$

By taking simply $n = 1$, we find that the constant $\gamma = 0$. In this way we obtain an important equality

$$\frac{\delta b_{n+1}}{\delta u} = n(c_n, a_n,)^T, \ n \geq 0. \tag{2.7}$$

In addition, for $n \geq 1$ we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} -2a_{n+1} \\ 2c_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2c_{n+1} \\ 2a_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -2q\partial^{-1}r & -\frac{1}{2}\partial + 2q\partial^{-1}q \\ \frac{1}{2}\partial - 2r\partial^{-1}r & 2r\partial^{-1}q \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2c_n \\ 2a_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore the hierarchy (2.5) may be cast into the following Hamiltonian form

$$u_{t_n} = \begin{pmatrix} q \\ r \end{pmatrix}_{t_n} = K_n = \begin{pmatrix} -2a_{n+1} \\ 2c_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} = JG_n = JL^n \begin{pmatrix} 2c_1 \\ 2a_1 \end{pmatrix} = J\frac{\delta H_n}{\delta u}, \ n \geq 0, \tag{2.8}$$

where the Hamiltonian operator J, the recursive operator L and the Hamiltonian functions H_n are determined by

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ L = \begin{pmatrix} -2q\partial^{-1}r & -\frac{1}{2}\partial + 2q\partial^{-1}q \\ \frac{1}{2}\partial - 2r\partial^{-1}r & 2r\partial^{-1}q \end{pmatrix}, \ H_n = \frac{2b_{n+2}}{n+1}, \ n \geq 0. \tag{2.9}$$

By a direct calculation similar to Ref. [19], we can get

$$V_{t_n}^{(m)} - V_{t_m}^{(n)} + [V^{(m)}, V^{(n)}] = (V^{(m)})'[K_n] - (V^{(n)})'[K_m] + [V^{(m)}, V^{(n)}] = 0,$$

which implies the commutability of the flows of (2.8). Therefore each system in the hierarchy (2.8) has an infinite number of symmetries $\{K_m\}_{m=0}^{\infty}$. Besides, we can directly verify

$$V_{t_n} = [V^{(n)}, V], \ n \geq 0, \tag{2.10}$$

when $u_{t_n} = K_n$, i.e. $U_{t_n} - V_x^{(n)} + [U, V^{(n)}] = 0, \ n \geq 0$. In fact, we easily find that $V_{t_n} - [V^{(n)}, V]$ satisfies the adjoint representation equation of $\phi_x = U\phi$ and that $V_{t_n} - [V^{(n)}, V]$ vanishes at $u = 0$. Thus (2.10) holds for $n \geq 0$ because the adjoint representation equation $V_x = [U, V]$ has uniqueness, namely if $V_x = [U, V]$ and V vanishes at $u = 0$, then V itself vanishes.

3. Binary nonlinearization

Let us begin with the binary nonlinearization of the Lax pairs and adjoint Lax pairs of the Dirac systems. Associated with Lax pairs (2.4), the Dirac systems have the adjoint Lax pairs

$$\begin{cases} \psi_x = -U^T\psi = -U^T(u,\lambda)\psi, & (3.1a) \\ \psi_{t_n} = -(V^{(n)})^T\psi = -(V^{(n)})^T(u,\lambda)\psi, & (3.1b) \end{cases}$$

where T means the transpose of matrix and $\psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2)^T$. It follows from $\phi_x = U\phi,\ \psi_x = -U^T\psi$, that

$$\frac{\delta\lambda}{\delta u} = \frac{1}{E}(\phi_1\psi_1 - \phi_2\psi_2, \phi_1\psi_2 + \phi_2\psi_1)^T,\ E = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(\phi_1\psi_2 - \phi_2\psi_1)\,dx. \tag{3.2}$$

When the zero boundary conditions: $\lim_{|x|\to+\infty}\phi = \lim_{|x|\to+\infty}\psi = 0$, hold, we have

$$L\frac{\delta\lambda}{\delta u} = \lambda\frac{\delta\lambda}{\delta u},\ \text{ or }\ L^*J\frac{\delta\lambda}{\delta u} = \lambda J\frac{\delta\lambda}{\delta u}, \tag{3.3}$$

where L is defined as in (2.9), and L^* is the adjoint operator of L, which is a hereditary symmetry. For a general spectral problem, this kind of property (3.3) has been discussed in Ref. [20].

Now introducing N distinct eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \cdots, \lambda_N$, we obtain the following two spatial and time systems

$$\begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{1j} \\ \phi_{2j} \end{pmatrix}_x = U(u,\lambda_j)\begin{pmatrix} \phi_{1j} \\ \phi_{2j} \end{pmatrix},\ j = 1,2,\cdots,N, & (3.4a) \\ \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{1j} \\ \psi_{2j} \end{pmatrix}_x = -U^T(u,\lambda_j)\begin{pmatrix} \psi_{1j} \\ \psi_{2j} \end{pmatrix},\ j = 1,2,\cdots,N; & (3.4b) \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{1j} \\ \phi_{2j} \end{pmatrix}_{t_n} = V^{(n)}(u,\lambda_j)\begin{pmatrix} \phi_{1j} \\ \phi_{2j} \end{pmatrix},\ j = 1,2,\cdots,N, & (3.5a) \\ \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{1j} \\ \psi_{2j} \end{pmatrix}_{t_n} = -(V^{(n)})^T(u,\lambda_j)\begin{pmatrix} \psi_{1j} \\ \psi_{2j} \end{pmatrix},\ j = 1,2,\cdots,N. & (3.5b) \end{cases}$$

Because $U_{t_n} - V^{(n)} + [U, V^{(n)}] = 0$ if and only if $(-U^T)_{t_n} - (-(V^{(n)})^T)_x + [-U^T, -(V^{(n)})^T] = 0$, the compatibility condition of (3.4) and (3.5) is still the nth Dirac systems $u_{t_n} = K_n$. Let us take the Bargmann constraint (requiring the G-vector field to be a linear function but not a differential function with respect to u) for the Dirac systems

$$JG_0 = J\sum_{j=1}^{N} 2E_j\frac{\delta\lambda_j}{\delta u},\ E_j = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(\phi_{1j}\psi_{2j} - \phi_{2j}\psi_{1j})\,dx. \tag{3.6}$$

This kind of constraints is, in fact, symmetry constraints because the $J\dfrac{\delta\lambda_j}{\delta u}$ are common symmetries of the Dirac systems. The constraint (3.6) allows us to impose that

$$\begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ a_1 \end{pmatrix} = \big(< \Phi_1, \Psi_1 > - < \Phi_2, \Psi_2 >, < \Phi_1, \Psi_2 > + < \Phi_2, \Psi_1 >\big)^T,$$

which implies that

$$\begin{cases} q = - < \Phi_1, \Psi_1 > + < \Phi_2, \Psi_2 >, & (3.7a) \\ r = - < \Phi_1, \Psi_2 > - < \Phi_2, \Psi_1 > . & (3.7b) \end{cases}$$

Here $\Phi_i = (\phi_{i1}, \cdots, \phi_{iN})^T$, $\Psi_i = (\psi_{i1}, \cdots, \psi_{iN})^T$, $i = 1, 2$, $< y, z >= \sum_{j=1}^{N} y_j z_j$, $y, z \in R^N$. Note that (3.7) has two particular properties: including both the eigenfunctions Φ_1, Φ_2 and the adjoint eigenfunctions Ψ_1, Ψ_2, and nonlinearity with respect to Φ_i, Ψ_i. Therefore we refer to (3.7) as a binary nonlinear constraint. In general, nonlinear constraints only involve eigenfunctions of spectral problems associated with integrable systems (see Refs. [1-8]). We denote by $\widetilde{A}$ the expression of A under the constraint (3.7). The property (3.3) ensures that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{c}_n \\ \widetilde{a}_n \end{pmatrix} = \widetilde{L}^{n-1} \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{c}_1 \\ \widetilde{a}_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} < A^{n-1}\Phi_1, \Psi_1 > - < A^{n-1}\Phi_2, \Psi_2 > \\ < A^{n-1}\Phi_1, \Psi_2 > + < A^{n-1}\Phi_2, \Psi_1 > \end{pmatrix}, \ n \geq 1, \tag{3.8a}$$

and that from (2.3),

$$\widetilde{b}_n = \partial^{-1}(2\widetilde{q}\widetilde{a}_n - 2\widetilde{r}\widetilde{c}_n) = < A^{n-1}\Phi_1, \Psi_2 > - < A^{n-1}\Phi_2, \Psi_1 >, \ n \geq 1. \tag{3.8b}$$

Here $\widetilde{b}_1 \neq 0$. But $\widetilde{V}_x = [\widetilde{U}, \widetilde{V}]$ still holds. By substituting (3.7) into the Lax pairs and the adjoint Lax pairs: (3.4) and (3.5), we acquire the nonlinearized Lax pairs and adjoint Lax pairs

$$\begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{1j} \\ \phi_{2j} \end{pmatrix}_x = U(\widetilde{u}, \lambda_j) \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{1j} \\ \phi_{2j} \end{pmatrix}, \ j = 1, 2, \cdots, N, & (3.9a) \\ \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{1j} \\ \psi_{2j} \end{pmatrix}_x = -U^T(\widetilde{u}, \lambda_j) \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{1j} \\ \psi_{2j} \end{pmatrix}, \ j = 1, 2, \cdots, N; & (3.9b) \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{1j} \\ \phi_{2j} \end{pmatrix}_{t_n} = V^{(n)}(\widetilde{u}, \lambda_j) \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{1j} \\ \phi_{2j} \end{pmatrix}, \ j = 1, 2, \cdots, N, & (3.10a) \\ \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{1j} \\ \psi_{2j} \end{pmatrix}_{t_n} = -(V^{(n)})^T(\widetilde{u}, \lambda_j) \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{1j} \\ \psi_{2j} \end{pmatrix}, \ j = 1, 2, \cdots, N. & (3.10b) \end{cases}$$

The spatial part of the nonlinearized Lax pairs and adjoint Lax pairs, i.e. the system (3.9) is a finite dimensional system but the time parts of the nonlinearized Lax pairs and adjoint Lax pairs, i.e. the systems (3.10) for $n \geq 0$ are all systems of evolution equations in $1+1$ dimensions. In the following, we shall verify that the system (3.9) is a Liouville integrable (see Ref. [21]) Hamiltonian system and that under the control of (3.9), the systems (3.10) are also Liouville integrable Hamiltonian systems.

The system (3.9) may be cast into the following Hamiltonian form

$$\Phi_{ix} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial \Psi_i}, \ \Psi_{ix} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial \Phi_i}, \ i = 1, 2. \tag{3.11a}$$

where the Hamiltonian function

$$\begin{aligned} H = & -\frac{1}{2}\big(< \Phi_1, \Psi_1 > - < \Phi_2, \Psi_2 >\big)^2 \\ & -\frac{1}{2}\big(< \Phi_1, \Psi_2 > + < \Phi_2, \Psi_1 >\big)^2 - < A\Phi_1, \Psi_2 > + < A\Phi_2, \Psi_1 > . \end{aligned} \tag{3.11b}$$

Let us now construct integrals of motion for (3.11). An obvious equality $(\widetilde{V}^2)_x = [\widetilde{U}, \widetilde{V}^2]$ leads to

$$F_x = (\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\widetilde{V}^2)_x = \frac{d}{dx}(\widetilde{c}^2 + \widetilde{a}^2 - \widetilde{b}^2) = 0.$$

Thus F is a generating function of integrals of motion for (3.11). Since $F = \sum_{n\geq 0} F_n \lambda^{-n}$, we obtain the following expressions

$$F_n = \sum_{i=0}^{n} (\widetilde{c}_i \widetilde{c}_{n-i} + \widetilde{a}_i \widetilde{a}_{n-i} - \widetilde{b}_i \widetilde{b}_{n-i}).$$

Further by (3.8), we have

$$F_0 = -1,\ F_1 = 2\big(< \Phi_1, \Psi_2 > - < \Phi_2, \Psi_1 >\big), \tag{3.12a}$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_n =& \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\widetilde{c}_i \widetilde{c}_{n-i} + \widetilde{a}_i \widetilde{a}_{n-i} - \widetilde{b}_i \widetilde{b}_{n-i}) - 2\widetilde{b}_0 \widetilde{b}_n \\ =& \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \Big[\big(< A^{i-1}\Phi_1, \Psi_1 > - < A^{i-1}\Phi_2, \Psi_2 >\big)\big(< A^{n-i-1}\Phi_1, \Psi_1 > - < A^{n-i-1}\Phi_2, \Psi_2 >\big) \\ &+ \big(< A^{i-1}\Phi_1, \Psi_2 > + < A^{i-1}\Phi_2, \Psi_1 >\big)\big(< A^{n-i-1}\Phi_1, \Psi_2 > + < A^{n-i-1}\Phi_2, \Psi_1 >\big) \\ &- \big(< A^{i-1}\Phi_1, \Psi_2 > - < A^{i-1}\Phi_2, \Psi_1 > \big)\big(< A^{n-i-1}\Phi_1, \Psi_2 > - < A^{n-i-1}\Phi_2, \Psi_1 >\big)\Big] \\ &+ 2\big(< A^{n-1}\Phi_1, \Psi_2 > - < A^{n-1}\Phi_2, \Psi_1 > \big),\ n \geq 2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.12b}$$

Here F_n, $n \geq 0$, are all polynomials of $4N$ dependent variables ϕ_{ij}, ψ_{ij}. Note that we have (2.10). With the same deduction, we find that $F = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\widetilde{V}^2$ is also a generating function of integrals of motion for (3.10). Moreover, we have

$$(\frac{\partial F}{\partial \Phi_1}, \frac{\partial F}{\partial \Phi_2})^T = \Big(\mathrm{tr}\big(\widetilde{V}\frac{\partial}{\partial \Phi_1}\widetilde{V}\big), \mathrm{tr}\big(\widetilde{V}\frac{\partial}{\partial \Phi_2}\widetilde{V}\big)\Big)^T, \tag{3.13}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{tr}\big(\widetilde{V}\frac{\partial}{\partial \Phi_1}\widetilde{V}\big) &= \mathrm{tr}\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{c}_i & \widetilde{a}_i + \widetilde{b}_i \\ \widetilde{a}_i - \widetilde{b}_i & -\widetilde{c}_i \end{pmatrix} \lambda^{-i} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial \Phi_1} \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{c}_j & \widetilde{a}_j + \widetilde{b}_j \\ \widetilde{a}_j - \widetilde{b}_j & -\widetilde{c}_j \end{pmatrix} \lambda^{-j} \\ &= \mathrm{tr}\sum_{\substack{i\geq 0 \\ j\geq 1}} \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{c}_i & \widetilde{a}_i + \widetilde{b}_i \\ \widetilde{a}_i - \widetilde{b}_i & -\widetilde{c}_i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A^{j-1}\Psi_1 & 2A^{j-1}\Psi_2 \\ 0 & -A^{j-1}\Psi_1 \end{pmatrix} \lambda^{-(i+j)} \\ &= 2\sum_{\substack{i\geq 0 \\ j\geq 1}} \big[\widetilde{c}_i A^{j-1}\Psi_1 + (\widetilde{a}_i - \widetilde{b}_i)A^{j-1}\Psi_2\big]\lambda^{-(i+j)}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.14a}$$

Similarly we can obtain

$$\mathrm{tr}\big(\widetilde{V}\frac{\partial}{\partial \Phi_2}\widetilde{V}\big) = 2\sum_{\substack{i\geq 0 \\ j\geq 1}} \big[(\widetilde{a}_i + \widetilde{b}_i)A^{j-1}\Psi_1 - \widetilde{c}_i A^{j-1}\Psi_2\big]\lambda^{-(i+j)}. \tag{3.14b}$$

The equalities (3.13) and (3.14) lead to

$$\frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix}\dfrac{\partial F_{n+1}}{\partial \Phi_1}\\ \dfrac{\partial F_{n+1}}{\partial \Phi_2}\end{pmatrix} = -(-\widetilde{V}^{(n)})^T\begin{pmatrix}\Psi_1\\ \Psi_2\end{pmatrix},\ n\geq 0.$$

The similar deduction can give rise to

$$\frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix}\dfrac{\partial F_{n+1}}{\partial \Psi_1}\\ \dfrac{\partial F_{n+1}}{\partial \Psi_2}\end{pmatrix} = \widetilde{V}^{(n)}\begin{pmatrix}\Phi_1\\ \Phi_2\end{pmatrix},\ n\geq 0.$$

At this stage, the systems (3.10) may be readily rewritten as

$$\Psi_{it_n} = -\frac{\partial(\frac{1}{2}F_{n+1})}{\partial \Phi_i},\ \Phi_{it_n} = \frac{\partial(\frac{1}{2}F_{n+1})}{\partial \Psi_i},\ i=1,2. \tag{3.15}$$

Associated with the symplectic structure on R^{4N}

$$\omega^2 = d\Psi_1\wedge d\Phi_1 + d\Psi_2\wedge d\Phi_2,$$

we can generate the Poisson bracket

$$\{P,Q\} = \omega^2(IdQ, IdP), \tag{3.16}$$

where IdR denotes a Hamiltonian vector field of a smooth function R on R^{4N}, defined by $IdR\lrcorner\omega^2 = -dR$, with $\lrcorner$ being the left interior product. Since F is a generating function of integrals of motion for (3.10), we obtain

$$\{F_{m+1}, F_{n+1}\} = 2\frac{\partial}{\partial t_n}F_{m+1} = 0,\ m,n\geq 0. \tag{3.17}$$

This elucidates that $\{F_n\}_{m=0}^{\infty}$ constitutes a Poisson algebra with regard to (3.16). Evidently we have

$$\left.\frac{\partial F_n}{\partial \Phi_1}\right|_{\Phi_1=\Phi_2=0} = 2A^{n-1}\Psi_2,\ \left.\frac{\partial F_n}{\partial \Phi_2}\right|_{\Phi_1=\Phi_2=0} = -2A^{n-1}\Psi_1,\ n\geq 1. \tag{3.18}$$

Therefore there must exist one region $\Omega\subseteq R^{4N}$ on which the $2N$ 1-forms $dF_1,\cdots,dF_{2N}$ are every linearly independent since the Vandermonde determinant $V(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\cdots,\lambda_N)$ is nonzero. In this way we have shown that the spatial part of the nonlinearized Lax pairs and adjoint Lax pairs and the time parts of the nonlinearized Lax pairs and adjoint Lax pairs under the control of the spatial part are all finite dimensional integrable Hamiltonian systems in the Liouville sense (see Ref. [21]).

When $\lim_{|x|\to+\infty}\Psi_i = \lim_{|x|\to+\infty}\Phi_i = 0$, $i=1,2$ (at this stage, $\widetilde{b}_1 = \frac{1}{2}F_1 = 0$ since $F_{1x}=0$) and $\Phi_i(x,t_n)$, $\Psi_i(x,t_n)$ solve simultaneously (3.11) and (3.15), then

$$u = \big(-<\Phi_1,\Psi_1>+<\Phi_2,\Psi_2>, -<\Phi_1,\Psi_2>-<\Phi_2,\Psi_1>\big)^T$$

is a solution to the nth Dirac system $u_{t_n} = K_n$. This allows us to conclude that the nth Dirac system $u_{t_n} = K_n$ has the following involutive representation of solutions

$$\begin{cases} q(x,t_n) = - < g_H^x g_{F_{n+1}}^{t_n} \Phi_1(0,0), g_H^x g_{F_{n+1}}^{t_n} \Psi_1(0,0) > \\ \qquad\qquad + < g_H^x g_{F_{n+1}}^{t_n} \Phi_2(0,0), g_H^x g_{F_{n+1}}^{t_n} \Psi_2(0,0) >, & (3.19a) \\ r(x,t_n) = - < g_H^x g_{F_{n+1}}^{t_n} \Phi_1(0,0), g_H^x g_{F_{n+1}}^{t_n} \Psi_2(0,0) > \\ \qquad\qquad - < g_H^x g_{F_{n+1}}^{t_n} \Phi_2(0,0), g_H^x g_{F_{n+1}}^{t_n} \Psi_1(0,0) >, & (3.19b) \end{cases}$$

with g_H^x, $g_{F_{n+1}}^{t_n}$ being the Hamiltonian phase flows (see Ref.[21]) associated with the Hamiltonian functions H, F_{n+1}, but $\Phi_i(0,0)$, $\Psi_i(0,0)$, $i = 1,2$, being any fixed constant vector of R^N. This kind of involutive representation of solutions to the Dirac systems also show, to some extent, the characteristic of integrability of the Dirac systems in view of the Liouville integrability of the flows g_H^x, $g_{F_{n+1}}^{t_n}$.

4. Conclusions and remarks

We introduced a kind of symmetry constraint on the Dirac integrable systems. Moreover we exhibited an explicit Poisson algebra on the symplectic manifold (R^{4N}, ω^2) and further, an involutive representation of solutions to the Dirac systems. The proposed symmetry constraint includes the eigenfunctions and the adjoint eigenfunctions and is nonlinear with respect to them. The corresponding reductions of the Lax pairs and adjoint Lax pairs and the manipulation with the integrability of the constrained systems constitute a binary nonlinearization problem.

We remark that when the zero boundary conditions on the eigenfunctions and the adjoint eigenfunctions are not imposed, the spatial part of the nonlinearized Lax pairs and adjoint Lax pairs is invariant and thus, still a Hamiltonian system with the original Hamiltonian function. However, the time parts of the nonlinearized Lax pairs and adjoint Lax pairs will vary with the boundary conditions. When the zero boundary conditions are not satisfied, they become very complicated Hamiltonian systems. Nevertheless, their Hamiltonian functions are some polynomials of F_n, $n \geq 1$, which may be determined by an obtained equality $c^2 + a^2 - b^2 = 1$. Furthermore we can consider higher order constraints

$$JG_n = J \sum_{j=1}^{N} 2E_j \frac{\delta \lambda_j}{\delta u}, \ (n \geq 1).$$

This kind of symmetry constraints is somewhat different from the Bargmann constraint because the G_n involve the differential of u with respect to x. To discuss them, we need to introduce new dependent variables, i.e. the so-called Jacobi-Ostrogradsky coordinates. These problems are left to a further investigation.

There exist also certain relations between the nonlinearization technique and the binary nonlinearization technique. For example, if we take the possible reduction $\Psi_1 = -\Phi_2$, $\Psi_2 = \Phi_1$, the

involutive system (3.12) simplifies to

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_1 =& 2\big(< \Phi_1, \Phi_1 > + < \Phi_2, \Phi_2 >\big), \\
F_n =& \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \Big[\big(< A^{i-1}\Phi_1, \Phi_1 > - < A^{i-1}\Phi_2, \Phi_2 >\big)\big(< A^{n-i-1}\Phi_1, \Phi_1 > - < A^{n-i-1}\Phi_2, \Phi_2 >\big) \\
& - \big(< A^{i-1}\Phi_1, \Phi_1 > + < A^{i-1}\Phi_2, \Phi_2 >\big)\big(< A^{n-i-1}\Phi_1, \Phi_1 > + < A^{n-i-1}\Phi_2, \Phi_2 >\big) \\
& + 4 < A^{i-1}\Phi_1, \Phi_2 >< A^{n-i-1}\Phi_1, \Phi_2 >\Big] + 2\big(< A^{n-1}\Phi_1, \Phi_1 > + < A^{n-1}\Phi_2, \Phi_2 >\big),\ n \geq 2.
\end{aligned}
$$

This is an involutive system on the symplectic manifold $(R^{2N}, \omega^2 = d\Phi_1 \wedge d\Phi_2)$, and it may be generated by the nonlinearization technique.

We should note that the idea of binary nonlinearization is quite broad; it can be applied to other integrable systems (see, for example, Ref. [22]). Therefore a large class of finite dimensional Liouville integrable Hamiltonian systems may be raised by means of our binary nonlinearization technique and the involutive representation of solutions can exhibit the integrability by quadratures for the considered systems.

Acknowledgements: The author expresses his gratitude to Prof. Gu C H and Prof. Hu H S for continuous support during the preparation of the paper. The author is also grateful to Profs. Cao C W, Zeng Y B and Geng X G for stimulating discussions.

References

[1] Cao C W, 1987, Henan Sci. 5, 1; 1988, Chin. Quart. J. Math. 3, 90.

[2] Cao C W, 1990, Acta Math. Sinica New Series 6, 35; 1990, Sci. China A 33, 528.

[3] Cao C W and Geng X G, 1990, In: Nonlinear Physics, eds. Gu C H, Li Y S and Tu G Z (Berlin: Springer-Verlag) p.68.

[4] Cao C W and Geng X G, 1990, J. Phys. A 23, 4117; 1991, J. Math. Phys. 32, 2323.

[5] Zhuang D W and Lin Y Q, 1990, In: Nonlinear Physics, eds. Gu C H, Li Y S and Tu G Z (Berlin: Springer-Verlag) p.92; Xu T X and Mu W H, 1990, Phys. Lett. A 147, 125; Gu Z Q, 1991, Chin. Sci. Bull. 36, 1683; Geng X G, 1992, Physica A 180, 241; Qiao Z J, 1993, Phys. Lett. A 172, 224.

[6] Ma W X, 1990, In: Nonlinear Physics eds. Gu C H, Li Y S and Tu G Z (Berlin: Springer-Verlag) p.79; 1990, Chin. Sci. Bulletin 35, 1853; 1992, Appl. Math. Mech. 13, 369; 1993, Acta Math. Appl. Sinica 9, 92.

[7] Zeng Y B and Li Y S, 1989, J. Math. Phys. 30, 1679; 1990, Acta Math. Sinica New Series 6, 257.

[8] Zeng Y B and Li Y S, 1990, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 23, L89; 1990, J. Math. Phys. 31, 2835.

[9] Antonowicz M and Wojciechowski S, 1990, Phys. Lett. A 147, 455; 1991, J. Phys A: Math. Gen. 24, 5043; 1992, J. Math. Phys. 33, 2115.

[10] Blaszak M, 1993, Phys. Lett. A 174, 85; 1993, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 26, 5985.

[11] Bogoyavlenskii O I and Novikov S P, 1976, Funct. Anal. Appl. 10, 8; Flaschka H, Newell A C and Ratiu T, 1983, Physica D 9, 324; Antonowicz M, Fordy A P and Wojciechowski S, 1987, Phys. Lett. A 124, 143; Tu G Z, 1991, Advances in Math. (China) 20, 60.

[12] Deleón M and Rodrigues P R, 1985, Generalized Classical Mechanics and Field Theory (Amsterdam: North-Holland).

[13] Zeng Y B, 1991, Phys. Lett. A 160, 541; 1992, Chin. Sci. Bull. 37, 769.

[14] Konopelchenko B, Sidorenko J and Strampp W, 1991, Phys. Lett. A 157, 17; Cheng Y and Li Y S, 1991, Phys. Lett. A **157**, 22; Konopelchenko B and Strampp W, 1991, Inverse Problems 7, L17; 1992, J. Math. Phys. 33, 3676; Sidorenko J and Strampp W, 1991, Inverse Problems 7, L37; Cheng Y, 1992, J. Math. Phys. 33, 3774.

[15] Xu B and Li Y S, 1992, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 25, 2957; Xu B, 1992, Inverse Problems 8, L13; Oevel W and Strampp W, 1993, Commun. Math. Phys. 157, 51; Liu Q P, 1994, Phys. Lett. A 187, 373.

[16] Frolov I S, 1972, Sov. Math. Dokl. 13, 1468; Hinton D B, Jordan A K, Klaus M and Shaw J K, 1991, J. Math. Phys. 32, 3015; Xu B Z and Jiang X F, 1993, Hamiltonian structures for two sorts of evolution equations, preprint.

[17] Fordy A P and Gibbons J, 1980, J. Math. Phys. 21, 2508; 1981, ibid, 22, 1170.

[18] Tu G Z, 1986, Scientia Sinica 24, 138; 1989, J. Math. Phys. 33, 330; Tu G Z and Meng D Z, 1989, Acta Math. Appl. Sinica 5, 89.

[19] Ma W X, 1992, J. Math. Phys. 33, 2464; 1992, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 25, 5329; 1993, Differential Geometric Methods in Theoretical Physics (Singapore: World Scientific) p.535.

[20] Fokas A S and Anderson R L, 1980, J. Math. Phys. 23, 1066; Tu G Z, 1990, Northeastern Math. J. 6, 26.

[21] Arnold V I, 1978, Mathematical Methods of Classical Mechanics (Berlin: Springer-Verlag); Abraham R and Marsden J, 1978, Foundations of Mechanics 2nd ed. (Massachusetts: Addison-Wesley).

[22] Ma W X, 1995, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 64, 1085; Ma W X and Strampp W, 1994, Phys. Lett. A 185, 277.